

The following talk contains disturbing stories from the automotive industry, which can cause discomfort and anger towards the audience. There have already been many instances of fainting and vomiting in conference halls. For those choosing to continue, you have been warned...



FROM THE AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRY

THOMAS SERMPINIS

@CROWTOM



- Thomas Sermpinis (a.k.a. cr0wtom)
 - Automotive Pentest Lead by Day



- Security Researcher by Night
- Hack Everything, Everywhere, All at Once (and Legally)
- TROOPERS Speaker and Addict

• For more: <u>cr0wsplace.com</u>





GOOB OF THIS TOLK

- Analyse the state of cybersecurity in the automotive industry
- Present unique (and hopefully interesting) use-cases, result of around 100 pentests and research projects in the industry
- Educate the new, the old and the bold
- Endorse and push more hackers to automotive
- Raise and highlight the significance of safety related devices




AUTOMOTIVE SECURITY

Relay attacks in 2023? Is that even possible?






Relay attacks in 2023? Is that even possible?



Relay attacks in 2023? Is that





Relay attacks in 2023? Is that

This remote keyfob hack may leave the past decade of Hondas vulnerable



/ Despite automaker's attempts at security







Sirius XM flaw could've let hackers remotely unlock and start cars



Nissan is just one of the auto manufacturer's that use Sirius XM's connected vehicle services.

Security researcher Sam Curry found an exploit affecting the telematics and infotainment systems powered by Sirius XM. Curry says the company has since fixed the issue.

By Emma Roth, a news writer who covers the streaming wars, consumer tech,

Dec 3, 2022 at 11:12 AM MST | B Comments / 8 New







empts at



s Are Stealing

Cable

Kias With Just a

the Korean cars that use a physical key.



Even the most recent models. Image: Honda









How Tech-Savvy Thieves Are Stealing Cars By Hacking Through **Headlights**

by Nathan Ord — Saturday, April 08, 2023, 02:37 PM EDT












BECOME A PATRON



Early last year, hackers were replaying remote keyless system codes to unlock and steal Honda or Acura vehicles. This year, criminals of TikTok have been showing people how to break into certain Hyundai and Kia models with some hotwiring. However, criminals are upping their thieving game as car companies come to the rescue with patches and security solutions for vehicles. With this forced advancement come car thefts through attacks on the car's central nervous system called the Controller Area Network (CAN) bus.



Kevin2600 @Kevin2600 · May 15 Replying to @Kevin2600

Demo video

ould've let hackers and start cars



Security researcher Sam Curry found an exploit affecting the telematics and infotainment systems powered by Sirius XM. Curry says the company has since fixed the issue.


Dec 3, 2022 at 11:12 AM MST | B Comments / 8 New















By Mitchell Clark Jul 11, 2022 at 6:23 PM MST | \square 0 Comments / 0 New



- Is there light at the end of the tunnel?
- The automotive industry cannot be considered new
 - The connectivity and technological aspect of it though, is not so old
- Entertainment and constant need for connectivity, are the reasons for technological advancements and integration
- Usually, 100+ year old industries, trying to catch up with young start-ups





- UN Regulation No. 155 general requirements for Vehicle Cybersecurity
 - Provides a set of standards that must be met in order to ensure the safety of road vehicles
 - The regulation requires the operation of a certified cybersecurity management system (CSMS)
 - UN R155 is significant as it provides a set of standards that must be met in order to ensure the safety of road vehicles
- In summary: Trying to shape the completely unregulated mess that exists right now
- Biggest caveat? Penetration testing is solely based on the Risk Assessment (TARA)



TIER 1 SUPPLIERS

A story of how cybersecurity requirements are designed by OEMs and NOT followed by Tier 1's.

Obet Security Requirements

- Cyber security requirements are developed and distributed by OEMs
 - A document which specifies the engineering requirements for cybersecurity risk
 management throughout the vehicle life cycle, including the processes, policies, and
 standards to comply with the legal framework and protect the vehicle from cyberattacks


Tier 1 suppliers should (ideally) comply to those, for correct and "secure" functionality of the supplied components




Is that actually the case though?

Reality Check

- Several Tier 1's are based in countries with Low Transparency and Weak Governance.^{1,2}
- How clear are the Cyber Security Requirements?
- Is there a proactive or reactive approach from the OEM or the pentesting supplier?





3. No language models were used throughout this research and this presentation.




Use case 1. The path to Game Over

- UDS stands for Unified Diagnostic Services, an application layer protocol for communication between electronic control units in automotive electronics
- Allows diagnostic functions such as reading and erasing fault codes, programming, testing, and monitoring of ECUs
- Consists of several "services" which can be used to perform specific actions
- A really common authentication scheme in UDS is the Security Access service (0x27)
 - Allows elevated access to authenticated users



use case 1. The path to Game Over







Use case 1: The path to Game Over

- Loosely developed requirements, can result in:
 - 1. Sloppy authentication implementations
 - 2. Weak sources of randomness
 - 3. Backdoors implemented outside of the scope of the requirements
 - e.g. Extra security access sub-service, with extremely weak security

























BACKGOOTS







- While Tier 1 supplied components might follow the OEMs cybersecurity requirements, that doesn't mean we only need to test "by the book"
- In most cases:
 - Several misconfigurations existing outside of the CyberSec Requirements
 - OEM doesn't know (or doesn't want us to know)
 - Tier 1's did not inform the OEM
- But why ...?





SOME TO THE

• For the OEM: Build more strict Cyber Security Requirements

- For the pentest suppliers / researchers:
 - Build a robust methodology which will cover a realistic amount of testcases
 - Don't build it solely based on requirements
 - Educate the client (OEM, Tier 1 or anyone applicable)





A story of how bad architecture can lead to devastating results.

Telematics and connectivity


- Almost no vehicles ship anymore without a telematics unit
- Secure update procedures became a necessity (they are part of the recent regulations)
- Several running services, including remote vehicle management in most cases (e.g. door unlock, vehicle conditioning, etc.)

 TLDR: Please consider the applicable connectivity while designing the architecture




use case II. The supercat


Telematics






use case II. The supercat








use case II. The supercar








use case II. The supercat








use case II. The supercar





use case II. The supercar





The tale of the buses

- Interconnected buses can act as a stepping stone in safety critical attacks
- Gateways are commonly used for message filtering and routing
- Bypassing the gateway, results in direct interception and communication of CAN¹ messages
- At this point, target ECUs existing on those buses can be analysed, enumerated, and exploited without the assumed restrictions



use case III. The supercat


- Remember UDS?
- Service 0x11 ECUReset
- 90% of target ECUs, come with no authentication or pre-condition for hard ECUResets
- This means that any ECU which allows execution of this service, can be immediately interrupted by hard reseting it




Outcome?



use case II. The supercar



use case II. The supercat



use case II. The supercat







The tale of the buses

Automotive architecture, understandably gets more complicated

 More internal buses need to be introduced for proper segmentation of safety critical and non-critical components

Better design should be considered from the first steps of production



DESIGN CHOICES

• •


• Other than architecture, there are several points during the design of a vehicle that need to be considered

The specific physical space of the components, wiring and connections is a multifunctional issue with several restrictions

Manufacturers need to make sure that everything is secure, isolated and inaccessible to external users



What if it's not?



External Points of Connectivity

- Several external components are directly connected to internal buses
 - e.g. radars, lidars, lights

Recent Toyota hack proved that this can have devastating results


- Bad design choices and bad architecture are not a good combination
 - External access to internal busses is a really common "misconfiguration"



Source: https://www.thedrive.com/news/shadetree-hackersare-stealing-cars-by-injecting-code-into-headlight-wiring




Use Case III. External Points of Connectivity






Use Case III. External Points of Connectivity






Use Case III. External Points of Connectivity









External Points of Connectivity


Separates direct current into specified components

- Several reasons behind the inclusion of those isolators
 - Both security and safety related

Encountered during pentests mainly on buses, trucks and boats

• Should it be accessible in an unrestricted manner though ...?









BOOTLOADERS

A story of how the old is becoming new again.

SecureBoot

- Depending on the target architecture and system, the bootloader is implemented accordingly
- ECU bootloaders are usually used for:
 - Re-programming
 - Initialisation of application section of memory
 - Read and write from and to sensitive parts of memory
- Understandably security measures must be taken to restrict unauthenticated access to the bootloader



Unfortunately, not so many manufacturers restrict access to the bootloader...

The Teally

- Even if we can obtain access to the bootloader, sensitive services are restricted to unauthenticated users
 - Request Download (0x34) / Request Upload (0x35)
 - Transfer Data (0x36)
- Most of the ECUs use the "bootloader" section (or UDS programming session).
 to perform secure update of the target
- Authentication sub-service for re-programming is different from the subservice used in application mode for other restricted tasks






Bootloader

Application





The teality








Bootloader

Application






The Teamy





The Teamy





The hard truth

 UDS Diagnostic Session Control for Programming session (10 02) is most of the times accessible to unauthenticated users

What happens if it's not?

Remember ECUReset?





The hatathan


Bootloader

Application






The hata truth





The hata truth





ECUReset restricted, you say?



The half truth


Bootloader

Application





The halo truth





Application





The hata truth







The hata truth





The hata that





the hata truth

Bootloader

Application





The hata truth

Powercycle through unrestricted access to battery isolator


Bootloader

Application





The hat that





Bypasses in front of your eyes

As mentioned, battery isolator can be used to clear errors from ECUs

- ECUs are mainly powered by the internal 12V battery
 - In EVs, from the AC Inverter, which is supplied by the vehicles batteries

Isolating the power source, technically turns off the ECUs

• By supplying power again, we initiate the boot process and everything that comes after that



What about the hidden by passes ***

TROOPERS22 - UDS Fuzzing and the Path to Game Over

 Security access seed randomness based on system clock and old vulnerabilities becoming new again

- Manufacturers start realising and mitigating this issue
 - Especially big OEMs and Tier 1s




But did they actually realise?

Bootloader

Application











Service: Security Access - 0x27

Sub-service: 0x03

Purpose: Execution of restricted routines









The Hata Turn

Service: Security Access - 0x27

Sub-service: 0x03

Purpose: Execution of restricted routines


Application

Bootloader

Normal Boot Process

Seed Source of Randomness: HSM





Application





The Hard Tuth

Service: Security Access - 0x27

Sub-service: 0x01

Purpose: Re-Programming

Hard Reset of any kind

Bootloader

Application





Service: Security Access - 0x27
Sub-service: 0x01
Purpose: Re-Programming

Hard Reset of any kind
Bootloader

Application

Seed Source of Randomness:



Service: Security Access - 0x27

Sub-service: 0x01

Purpose: Re-Programming

Hard Reset of any kind

Bootloader


Application

Seed Source of Randomness:

System Clock









The Hard Tuth

- Things which are protected on the application layer, can be usually unprotected on the bootloader
 - Forgotten?
 - Separate development teams?
 - Externally sourced, so different code base?

It's worth testing all available services and sub-services, under all available layers



ΚΕΦΑΛΑΙΟ 5

SEEDS^2

The story of the duplicates once again.

CaringCaribou and seed_randomness_fuzzer module

Mostly modular with several developed modules

Main advantage is the ease of use

Main disadvantage is the inability to easily alter the low level layers of the project



Use Case IV Hyarogen Combustion AV

Safety critical components need to be easily isolated from batteries

- After enumerating:
 - ECUReset is not available in any diagnostic session
 - The available Security Access is not backdoored or vulnerable to weak seed randomness
 - No other misconfigurations discovered during initial enumeration.



Use Case IV. Hydrogen Combustion ATV





Use Case IV. Hydrogen Combustion ATV







Use Case No Hyatrogen Combustion ATV









Use Case IV. Hyarogen Combustion AV







Use Case IVI Hyat ogen Combustion ATV





Results

 Having a relay as the source of the powercycle, can result in more accurate results from last year

• With around 20% of duplicate seeds out of 1k samples, we can be relatively confident that the target is sourcing the randomness on the system clock •

- In most cases, it's easier to intercept a seed and pre-calculated key pair from the bootloader accessible sub-session than from the application layer
 - Used for re-programming purposes



EPILOGUE

For the community

- While CC might not be the best tool out there, it can help newcomers start
 - A project which also helped us start

- Several new automations from my side to help the project move forward:
 - Write Data by Identifier fuzzer
 - Auto module, for complete automation of the UDS enumeration
 - Support for new CAN interfaces with proprietary drivers under python-can
 - Different padding (and no padding) support



Petitesting Is Research

- While reversing firmwares and getting hardware access is fun, scope is usually extremely limited
- We are tasked to find efficient ways to perform more testing, in a result driven environment
- Automation of tasks is usually the main priority of the testing
- Direct result is the extension of our methodology and testcases



Chefts Is Pettesters

Automotive clients need to understand our methodology and testcases

Abstract results are not always a good way forward

 Education is the key to a better collaboration with developers as there is no clear standard and methodology available online, in contrast to mature industries like web, infra, API, etc.



Do they even care?

THE END

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION



Thomas Sermpinis <u>cr0wsplace.com</u>