

Consulting Engineering Project Management



NATTED – A Field Report

Troopers 2016, 14th of March 2016

Gabriel Müller, Senior Consultant

AWK Group

Facts and Figures

Activity	Consulting, engineering und project management for information technology from a single source				
Owner	The share capital is wholly owned by the partners				
Founded in	1986				
Employees	Over 170 staff				
Clients	Over 400				
Projects	Over 4'000				
0.1					

Site Locations Zurich, Berne, Basle, Lausanne

Qualification of our Consultants



Turnover



Partners of AWK

From left to right: Ralph Tonezzer, Peter Gabriel, Kurt Biri, Christian Mauz, Oliver Vaterlaus (Managing Partner), Ueli Sandmeier, André Arrigoni,



Contents

Motivation

- ► NAT64
- Setup
- Testing
- Demo
- Conclusion
- ► For Your Reference



Motivation

Why are we doing this?

- ... our statement for the need of monitoring in general
 - Complexity
 - Redundancy
- ... curiosity
 - Does it work?
 - Performance impact
- ... a valid starting point for IPv6 deployment
 - Lifecycle
 - Today: IPv6 islands tomorrow: IPv4 islands



Motivation

NAT and its Reputation

- One-to-Many
 - IPv4: Public IP address shortage
 - IPv6: n/a
- One-to-One
 - IPv4: Merging networks of multiple organisations / entities
 - IPv6: Hiding (?)
- NAT64
 - Transition mechanism
- The big difference





permanent

temporary

Contents

Motivation

► NAT64

- Setup
- Testing
- Demo
- Conclusion
- ► For Your Reference



NAT64 Transition Mechanism - Recap

- When do you use a transition mechanism?
 - If your transport is IPv4-only or IPv6-only.
 - If your communication endpoints IP protocols miss-match.





NAT64 Transition Mechanisms - Overview





* Deprecated RFCs / ** Also NAT-PT (RFC2766)



Contents

Motivation

► NAT64

Setup

- Testing
- Demo
- Conclusion
- ► For Your Reference



Setup

Starting Position





Setup

Target



Setup Design Considerations (1/4)

- What do we need?
 - Some device doing NAT64 translation
 - Available devices
 - CoreSwitch01/02
 - Firewall01/02
- Checking device support for NAT64
 - Core switch
 - Cisco Catalyst 4500e
 - Sup 6L-E / IOS 15.2(2)E3
 - Firewall
 - Juniper SRX240 H2
 - Junos 12.3X48-D15.4



Setup Design Considerations (2/4)

- Monitoring02 ⇔ DMZ Devices
 - No issues, SRX supports NAT64
- Monitoring02 ⇔ Trust Devices
 - Problematic
 - Solution: Route through Firewall



• Addressing – Static 1to1 NAT

Device	IPv4 Address	IPv6 Address
AccessSwitch01	10.1.234.121	2001:1702:6:1111::21
AccessSwitch02	10.1.234.122	2001:1702:6:1111::22
APU-Test	10.1.234.131	2001:1702:6:1111::31
DMZSwitch01	10.1.226.121	2001:1702:6:1131::21
DMZSwitch02	10.1.226.122	2001:1702:6:1131::22
APU-Test	10.1.226.131	2001:1702:6:1131::31
Monitoring02	10.1.233.22	2001:1702:6:1191::1122 2001:1702:6:11a1::1122
		Bold means virtual IP, not assigned to a d

Setup Design Considerations (4/4)

- Something missing?
 - What about network 10.1.234.0/24?
 - Purely virtual, used to forward packets from monotoring02 to firewall
 - It is new...
 - ⇒ Routing entry on CoreSwitch01/02 required, next hop Firewall01/02





Setup NAT64 Configuration (SRX 240) – to Zone DMZ

```
Static NAT for destination address
 mug@CHZH01NFWCL01# show security nat static
 rule-set RS NAT6446 from reth21013 {
     from interface reth2.1013;
     rule R 001 DMZ {
         match {
              destination-address-name 10.1.226.121/32;
         then {
              static-nat {
                  prefix-name {
                      2001:1702:6:1131::21/128;
                                                                   reth2.1013
                                                                   Firewall01
                                                                                  reth1.1131
                                                                (CHZH01NFW01)
                                                                   Firewall02
                                                                (CHZH01NFW02)
```



Setup NAT64 Configuration (SRX 240) – to Zone DMZ

Changing source IP

```
mug@CHZH01NFWCL01# show security nat source
rule-set RS NAT6446 from reth11131 {
    from interface reth2.1013;
    to interface reth1.1131;
    rule R 001 DMZ {
        match {
            source-address-name CHZH01 MGMT 10.1.233.0/24;
            destination-address-name CHZH01 MGMT 2001:1702:6:1131/64;
        then {
            source-nat {
                interface;
                                // interface configuration
                                family inet6 {
                                                address 2001:1702:6:1131::10/64;
                                                address fe80::1131:0:0:10/64;
```

Setup NAT64 Configuration (SRX 240) – from Zone DMZ

```
Static NAT for destination address
 mug@CHZH01NFWCL01# show security nat static
 rule-set RS NAT6446 from reth11131 {
     from interface reth1.1131;
     rule R 001 ToSyslog {
         match {
              destination-address-name 2001:1702:6:1191::1122/128;
         then {
              static-nat {
                  prefix-name {
                      CHZH01 SM002 v4-10.1.233.22/32;
                                                                   reth2.1013
                                                                   Firewall01
                                                                                  reth1.1131
                                                                (CHZH01NFW01)
                                                                   Firewall02
                                                                (CHZH01NFW02)
```



Setup NAT64 Configuration (SRX 240) – from Zone DMZ

- Changing Source IP
 - Not required
 - Static NAT entry is used (applied in reversed order)

```
mug@CHZH01NFWCL01# show security nat static
rule-set RS NAT6446 from reth21013 {
    from interface reth2.1013;
    rule R 001 DMZ {
        match {
            destination-address-name 10.1.226.121/32;
        then {
            static-nat {
                prefix-name {
                    2001:1702:6:1131::21/128;
```



• From IPv4 to IPv6

```
mug@CHZH01NFWCL01# show security policies from-zone MGMT to-zone MGMT
...
policy MGMT_MGMT_007-TEMP {
    match {
        source-address [ CHZH01_SMO02_v4-10.1.233.22/32 ... ];
        destination-address [ 2001:1702:6:1131::21/128 ... ];
        application [ snmp junos-ssh junos-ping junos-https ];
    }
    then {
        permit;
    }
}
```

• From IPv6 to IPv4

```
mug@CHZH01NFWCL01# show security policies from-zone MGMT to-zone MGMT
...
policy MGMT_MGMT_009-TEMP {
    match {
        source-address [ 2001:1702:6:1131::21/128 ... ];
        destination-address CHZH01_SM002_v4-10.1.233.22/32;
        application [ junos-ssh junos-syslog junos-pingv6 junos-https ];
    }
    then {
        permit;
    }
}
```

Setup NAT64 Configuration (SRX 240) – Policy Handling

- By the way...
 - Why do we specify rules with Src IPv4 Dst IPv6 or vice versa?
 - Any idea?



Contents

Motivation

- ► NAT64
- ► Setup

► Testing

- Demo
- Conclusion
- ► For Your Reference



Testing A very first packet (1/2)

• Do you remember?



// Packet arriving (v4 -> v4)

Jan 27 08:27:06 08:27:06.173280:CID-1:RT: reth2.1013:10.1.233.22->10.1.226.101, icmp, (8/0) Jan 27 08:27:06 08:27:06.173421:CID-1:RT: chose interface reth2.1013 as incoming nat if.

// Static NAT & Route Lookup

Jan 27 08:27:06 08:27:06.173421:CID-1:RT:flow_first_rule_dst_xlate: packet 10.1.233.22->10.1.226.101
nsp2 change to 2001:1702:6:1131:0:0:0:21.
Jan 27 08:27:06 08:27:06.173421:CID-1:RT: Doing DESTINATION addr route-lookup
Jan 27 08:27:06 08:27:06.173421:CID-1:RT: routed (x_dst_ip 2001:1702:6:1131:0:0:0:21) from MGMT
(reth2.1013 in 1) to reth1.1131, Next-hop: 2001:1702:6:1131:0:0:0:21

// Searching for Security Policy

Jan 27 08:27:06 08:27:06.173421:CID-1:RT: flow_first_policy_search: policy search from zone MGMT-> zone MGMT (0x114,0x1378a,0x378a) Jan 27 08:27:06 08:27:06.173421:CID-1:RT: Policy lkup: vsys 0 zone(6:MGMT) -> zone(6:MGMT) scope:0 Jan 27 08:27:06 08:27:06.173421:CID-1:RT: 10.1.233.22/2048 -> 2001:1702:6:1131:0:0:0:21/17974 proto Jan 27 08:27:06 08:27:06.173913:CID-1:RT: permitted by policy MGMT_MGMT_006_TEMP(176)



Testing A very first packet (2/2)

• Do you remember?



// Source NAT

Jan 27 08:27:06 08:27:06.173913:CID-1:RT:flow_first_src_xlate: src nat returns status: 1, rule/pool id: 5/2, pst_nat: False.

Jan 27 08:27:06 08:27:06.173913:CID-1:RT: dip id = 2/0, 10.1.233.22/1->2001:1702:6:1131:0:0:0:10/38506

Jan 27 08:27:06 08:27:06.174727:CID-1:RT:handle icmp xlate v4 to v6

Jan 27 08:27:06 08:27:06.174786:CID-1:RT: post addr xlation: 2001:1702:6:1131:0:0:0:10->2001:1702:6:1131:0:0:0:21.

// Packet leaving (v6 -> v6)

Jan 27 08:27:06 08:27:06.174812:CID-1:RT:**** jump to packet after xlate:2001:1702:6:1131:0:0:0:10->2001:1702:6:1131:0:0:0:21

131

- Questions ?
 - Why is :1131::10 used as source IP?

Testing SSH Login & Syslog

SSH from monitoring02 to DMZSwitch01							
mug@monitoring02:~\$ ssh 10.1.226.121							
Password:							
CHZH01NDS01>	en						
Password:							
CHZH01NDS01#	show users						
Line	User	Host(s)	Idle	Location			
* 1 vty 0	mug	idle	00:00:00	2001:1702:6:1191::1122			
CH7H01NDS01#							

• Syslog from DMZSwitch01 to monitoring02

root@monitoring02:/home/mug# tail -f /var/log/syslog	
10.	.1.226.121
Feb 10 21:57:18 chzh01nds01t.awkgroup.com 11444:	
.Feb 10 20:57:17.853: %SYS-6-LOGGINGHOST_STARTSTOP: Logging to host	
2001:1702:6:1191::1122 port 514 started - CLI initiated	

CHZH01NDS01#show run | include 1122

logging host ipv6 2001:1702:6:1191::1122



Testing Monitoring of DMZSwitch01 (native via IPv6)





Testing Monitoring of DMZSwitch01 (NAT64)





Native IPv4

```
root@Monitoring02:/home/mug# ping -f 10.1.226.31 -c 10000
PING 10.1.226.31 (10.1.226.31) 56(84) bytes of data.
```

--- 10.1.226.31 ping statistics ---

10000 packets transmitted, 10000 received, 0% packet loss, **time 9023ms** rtt min/avg/max/mdev = 0.626/0.852/14.050/0.486 ms, pipe 2, ipg/ewma 0.902/0.820 ms

Native IPv6

root@monitoring02:/home/mug# **ping6** -f 2001:1702:6:1131::31 -c 10000 PING 2001:1702:6:1131::31 (2001:1702:6:1131::31) 56 data bytes

--- 2001:1702:6:1131::31 ping statistics ---10000 packets transmitted, 10000 received, 0% packet loss, **time 7644ms** rtt min/avg/max/mdev = 0.420/0.707/13.250/0.380 ms, pipe 2, ipg/ewma 0.764/1.189 ms

IPv6 flow processing faster (-;



• NAT64

```
root@monitoring02:/home/mug# ping -f 10.1.226.131 -c 10000
PING 10.1.226.131 (10.1.226.131) 56(84) bytes of data.
```

--- 10.1.226.131 ping statistics ---

10000 packets transmitted, 10000 received, 0% packet loss, **time 9391ms** rtt min/avg/max/mdev = 0.633/0.895/44.000/0.818 ms, pipe 4, ipg/ewma 0.939/0.850 ms

- Comparission
 - IPv4 Native: 9023ms
 - IPv6 Native: 7644ms
 - NAT64: 9391ms (+ 4% / +23%)

Native IPv4

```
root@monitoring02:/home/mug# ping -f 10.1.224.31 -c 10000
PING 10.1.224.31 (10.1.224.31) 56(84) bytes of data.
```

--- 10.1.224.31 ping statistics ---

10000 packets transmitted, 10000 received, 0% packet loss, **time 8600ms** rtt min/avg/max/mdev = 0.573/0.800/14.027/0.482 ms, pipe 2, ipg/ewma 0.860/0.804 ms

Native IPv6

root@monitoring02:/home/mug# **ping6** -f 2001:1702:6:1111::31 -c 10000 PING 2001:1702:6:1111::31 (2001:1702:6:1111::31) 56 data bytes

```
--- 2001:1702:6:1111::31 ping statistics ---
10000 packets transmitted, 10000 received, 0% packet loss, time 5291ms
rtt min/avg/max/mdev = 0.240/0.479/13.292/0.364 ms, pipe 2, ipg/ewma 0.529/0.404 m
```

⇒ Again, IPv6 flow processing faster (-;

Testing Performance – Ping Flooding – to Zone TRUST (2/2)

• NAT64

```
root@monitoring02:/home/mug# ping -f 10.1.234.131 -c 10000
PING 10.1.234.131 (10.1.234.131) 56(84) bytes of data.
```

--- 10.1.234.131 ping statistics ---

10000 packets transmitted, 10000 received, 0% packet loss, **time 11928ms** rtt min/avg/max/mdev = 0.858/1.143/14.059/0.549 ms, pipe 2, ipg/ewma 1.192/1.552 ms



Testing Performance – Observing SRX Load (1/4)

- Juniper SRX Branch Architecture
 - No dedicated hardware for control and data plan (all on same processor)
 - Dedicated core(s) for control plane
 - Dedicated core(s) for data plane
 - Control plane
 - Show chassis routing-engine
 - Data plane
 - Show chassis forwarding



Source: http://chimera.labs.oreilly.com

Testing **Performance – Observing SRX Load (2/4)**

Control plane

<pre>mug@CHZH01NFWCL01> show chass node0:</pre>	is rou	uting-engine
Routing Engine status:		
Temperature	43	degrees C / 109 degrees F
CPU temperature	43	degrees C / 109 degrees F
Total memory	2048	MB Max 1311 MB used (64 percent)
Control plane memory	1072	MB Max 600 MB used (56 percent)
Data plane memory	976	MB Max 703 MB used (72 percent)
CPU utilization:		
User	18	percent
Background	0	percent
Kernel	9	percent
Interrupt	0	percent
Idle	73	percent
Model		RE-SRX240H2
Serial ID		ACMK1932
Start time		2016-03-01 08:15:25 CET
Uptime		2 days, 1 hour, 33 minutes, 44 seconds
Last reboot reason		Router rebooted after a normal shutdown.
Load averages:		1 minute 5 minute 15 minute
		0.22 0.34 0.32



Testing Performance – Observing SRX Load (3/4)

• Data plane

mug@CHZH01NFWCL01> show chassis forwarding						
node0:						
FWDD status:						
State	Online					
Microkernel CPU utilization	12 percent					
Real-time threads CPU utilization	1 percent					
Heap utilization	73 percent					
Buffer utilization	1 percent					
Uptime:	16 days, 7 minutes, 16 seconds					



Testing Performance – Observing SRX Load (4/4)

• Security related processing (sub process / thread of data plane)

```
mug@CHZH01NFWCL01> show security monitoring fpc 0
node0:
FPC 0
 PIC 0
   CPU utilization : 16 %
   Memory utilization : 73 %
   Current flow session : 6877
   Current flow session TPv4: 1133538
   Current flow session IPv6: 4293840635
   Max flow session : 409600
Total Session Creation Per Second (for last 96 seconds on average): 1015
IPv4 Session Creation Per Second (for last 96 seconds on average): 1015
IPv6 Session Creation Per Second (for last 96 seconds on average):
                                                                   0
```

Performance – Observing SRX Load – to Zone DMZ (1/2)

- Firewall load
 - Native IPv4

root@monitoring02:/home/mug# **ping -f 10.1.226.131 -c 100000**

- Native IPv6

root@monitoring02:/home/mug# ping6 -f 2001:1702:6:1131::31 -c 100000

- NAT64

root@monitoring02:/home/mug# **ping -f 10.1.226.131 -c 100000**



Performance – Observing SRX Load – to Zone DMZ (2/2)

- Forwarding plane
 - Native IPv4

```
Microkernel CPU utilization +2-3%

CPU utilization +16% // sub process

Session Creation Per Second (for last 96 seconds on average): ~1000
```

- Native IPv6

Microkernel CPU utilization +2-3%					
CPU utilization +22% // sub process					
Session Creation Per Second (for la	ast 96 seconds on average): ~1000				

- NAT64

Microkernel CPU utilization	+3-4%
CPU utilization	+22% // sub process
Session Creation Per Second (for la	ast 96 seconds on average): ~850

- Comment: SRX240h2 should be able to handle 9600 new sessions / second

Testing Performance – iperf Testing – to Zone DMZ

- Control Plane
 - No significant increase



Testing Comparing monitored values (CLI and GUI)

• Monitoring issue (?)

```
mug@CHZH01NFWCL01> show chassis routing-engine
node0:
Routing Engine status:
                            43 degrees C / 109 degrees F
   Temperature
   CPU temperature 44 degrees C / 111 degrees F
   Total memory 2048 MB Max 1311 MB used (64 percent)
     Control plane memory 1072 MB Max 590 MB used (55 percent)
     Data plane memory 976 MB Max 712 MB used (73 percent)
   CPU utilization:
                              10 percent
     User
     Background
                               0 percent
     Kernel
                              16 percent
                              0 percent
     Interrupt
     Idle
                              73 percent
   Model
                                RE-SRX240H2
   Serial ID
                                ACMK1932
                                2016-02-07 20:47:00 CET
   Start time
   Uptime
                                14 days, 23 hours, 57 minutes, 41 seconds
   Last reboot reason
                                Router rebooted after a normal shutdown.
                                1 minute 5 minute 15 minute
   Load averages:
                                    0.16
                                              0.23
                                                         0.24
```

Performance – iperf Measurement – to Zone DMZ (1/2)

```
Native IPv4
mug@Monitoring01:~$ iperf -c 10.1.226.31 -p 443 -P 10
_____
Client connecting to 10.1.226.31, TCP port 443
TCP window size: 85.0 KByte (default)
  ______
...
[SUM] 0.0-10.3 sec 121 MBytes 98.6 Mbits/sec
mug@Monitoring01:~$
  Native IPv6
mug@Monitoring01:~$ iperf -c 2001:1702:6:1131::31 -p 443 -P 10 -V
 Client connecting to 2001:1702:6:1131::31, TCP port 443
TCP window size: 85.0 KByte (default)
  _____
...
[SUM] 0.0-10.0 sec 989 MBytes 828 Mbits/sec
mug@Monitoring01:~$
```



Performance – iperf Measurement – to Zone DMZ (2/2)



Performance – iperf Measurement – to Zone TRUST (1/2)

Native IPv6

• • •



Performance – iperf Measurement – to Zone TRUST (2/2)

```
    NAT64
    mug@monitoring02:/home/mug# iperf -c 10.1.234.131 -p 443 -P 10
    Client connecting to 10.1.234.131, TCP port 443
    TCP window size: 85.0 KByte (default)
    ....
    [SUM] 0.0-10.5 sec 124 MBytes 99.1 Mbits/sec
```

mug@monitoring02:/home/mug#



Contents

Motivation

► NAT64

Setup

Testing

Demo

- Conclusion
- ► For Your Reference



Demo

Performance – Observing SRX Load – to Zone TRUST (1/2)

- Firewall load
 - Testing

root@monitoring02:/home/mug# ping -f 10.1.224.31 -c 100000
root@monitoring02:/home/mug# ping6 -f 2001:1702:6:1111::31 -c 100000
root@monitoring02:/home/mug# ping -f 10.1.234.131 -c 100000

Observing forwarding and control plane

mug@CHZH01NFWCL01> show chassis forwarding

mug@CHZH01NFWCL01> show security monitoring fpc 0

mug@CHZH01NFWCL01> show chassis routing-engine



Demo

Performance – Observing SRX Load – to Zone TRUST (2/2)

• Firewall load (continued)



► n/a



- NAT64

Microkernel CPU utilization	+7%
CPU utilization	+20% // sub process
Session Creation Per Second (for la	st 96 seconds on average): ~800



Demo Juniper Space / Security Director



Contents

Motivation

- ► NAT64
- Setup
- ► Testing
- Demo
- Conclusion
- ► For Your Reference



Conclusion

Our Summary

- What we tested
 - Management related traffic / applications
 - TCP / UDP / ICMP
- What we did not test
 - DNS
 - Multicast
- Does it work?
 - Yes
- Would we recommend to use it?
 - Yes
 - Allows you to introduce IPv6 islands
 - Enabler for IPv6 experiences

Conclusion Your Opinion

• What do you think?

• Would you give it a try?

• Any other ideas where / how to make use of NAT64?



Conclusion

In case of further questions





Gabriel Müller Dipl. El.-Ing. ETH Senior Consultant

gabriel.mueller@awk.ch



Contents

Motivation

- ► NAT64
- Setup
- ► Testing
- Demo
- Conclusion

For Your Reference



Setup – NAT64 Configuration (SRX 240) – to Zone TRUST

```
Static NAT for destination address
 mug@CHZH01NFWCL01# show security nat static
 rule-set RS NAT6446 from reth21013 {
     from interface reth2.1013;
      rule R 004 TRUST {
         match {
              destination-address-name 10.1.234.121/32;
         then {
              static-nat {
                  prefix-name {
                      2001:1702:6:1111::21/128;
                                                                   reth2.1013
                                                                   Firewall01
                                                                                  reth1.1131
                                                                (CHZH01NFW01)
                                                                   Firewall02
                                                                (CHZH01NFW02)
```

Setup – NAT64 Configuration (SRX 240) – to Zone TRUST

Changing source IP Incoming interface equals mug@CHZH01NFWCL01# show security nat source outgoing interface since we rule-set RS NAT6446 from reth21013 { looping through firewall from interface reth2.1013; to interface reth2.1013; rule R 004 TRUST { match { source-address-name CHZH01 MGMT 10.1.233.0/24; destination-address-name CHZH01 MGMT 2001:1702:6:1111/64; then { source-nat { interface; family inet6 { address 2001:1702:6:1131::10/64; address fe80::1131:0:0:10/64;



Setup – NAT64 Configuration (SRX 240) – from Zone TRUST

```
Static NAT for destination address
 mug@CHZH01NFWCL01# show security nat static
 rule-set RS NAT6446 from reth21013 {
     from interface reth2.1013;
     rule R 099 ToSyslog {
         match {
              destination-address-name 2001:1702:6:11a1::1122/128;
         then {
              static-nat {
                  prefix-name {
                      CHZH01 SM002 v4-10.1.233.22/32;
                                                                   reth2.1013
                                                                   Firewall01
                                                                                  reth1.1131
                                                                (CHZH01NFW01)
                                                                   Firewall02
                                                                (CHZH01NFW02)
```



Setup – NAT64 Configuration (SRX 240) – from Zone TRUST

- Changing Source IP
 - Not required
 - Static NAT entry is used (applied in reversed order)

```
mug@CHZH01NFWCL01# show security nat static
rule-set RS NAT6446 from reth21013 {
    from interface reth2.1013;
    rule R 001 DMZ {
        match {
            destination-address-name 10.1.226.121/32;
        then {
            static-nat {
                prefix-name {
                    2001:1702:6:1131::21/128;
```



NAT64 Config – Junos Security Director View

• Firewall Policies

MGMT_MGMT_007-TEMP	🖺 МӨМТ	CHZH01_SMO02_v4-10.1.233.22/32 CHZH01_SMO01_v4-10.1.233.21/32	🜲 мдмт	 2001:1702:6:1131::21/128 2001:1702:6:1131::22/128 2001:1702:6:1131::31/128 	 A snmp A ssh A ping A https 	🤣 Permit
MGMT_MGMT_008-TEMP	🖺 МӨМТ	<pre>CHZH01_SMO02_v4-10.1.233.22/32 CHZH01_SMO01_v4-10.1.233.21/32</pre>	🖺 МӨМТ	 2001:1702:6:1111::21/128 2001:1702:6:1111::22/128 2001:1702:6:1111::31/128 	 A snmp A ssh A ping A https 	🤣 Permit
MGMT_MGMT_009-TEMP	🖺 МӨМТ	2001:1702:6:1131::21/128 2001:1702:6:1131::22/128 2001:1702:6:1131::31/128	🖺 МСМТ	E CHZH01_SMO02_v4-10.1.233.22/32	 ⇒ ssh ⇒ syslog ⇒ pingv6 ⇒ https 	🤣 Permit
MGMT_MGMT_010-TEMP	🔥 МСМТ	2001:1702:6:1111::21/128 2001:1702:6:1111::22/128 2001:1702:6:1111::31/128	🖺 МСМТ	E CHZH01_SMO02_v4-10.1.233.22/32	 A ssh A syslog A pingv6 A https 	🤣 Permit



NAT64 Config – Junos Security Director View

• NAT Policies

[I] RS_NAT46_MGMT_DMZ_Source (18 - 18)								
R_001_DMZ	SOURCE	Interfaces: reth2.1013	# CHZH01_MGMT_10.1.233.0/24	Interfaces: reth1.1131	CHZH01_MGMT_2001:1702:6:1131/64		Interface	Not Applicable
RS_NAT46_MGMT_TRUS	ST_Source (19 - 19)							
R_004_TRUST	SOURCE	Interfaces: reth2.1013	# CHZH01_MGMT_10.1.233.0/24	Interfaces: reth2.1013	CHZH01_MGMT_2001:1702:6:1111/64		Interface	Not Applicable
RS_NAT6446_from_reth1	1131 (20 - 20)							
R_001_ToSyslog	STATIC	Interfaces: reth1.1131	empty	Not Applicable	2001:1702:6:1191::1122/128	Not A	A Not Ap	ECHZH01_SMO02_v4-10.1.233.22/32
RS_NAT6446_from_reth2	21013 (21 - 27)							
R_001_DMZ	STATIC	Interfaces: reth2.1013	empty	Not Applicable		Not A	Not Ap	2001:1702:6:1131::21/128
R_002_DMZ	STATIC	Interfaces: reth2.1013	empty	Not Applicable		Not A	Not Ap	2001:1702:6:1131::22/128
R_003_DMZ	STATIC	Interfaces: reth2.1013	empty	Not Applicable		Not A	Not Ap	2001:1702:6:1131::31/128
R_004_TRUST	STATIC	Interfaces: reth2.1013	empty	Not Applicable		Not A	Not Ap	
R_005_TRUST	STATIC	Interfaces: reth2.1013	empty	Not Applicable		Not A	Not Ap	2001:1702:6:1111::22/128
R_006_TRUST	STATIC	Interfaces: reth2.1013	empty	Not Applicable		Not A	Not Ap	2001:1702:6:1111::31/128
R_099_ToSyslog	STATIC	Interfaces: reth2.1013	empty	Not Applicable	2001:1702:6:11a1::1122/128	Not A	A Not Ap	E CHZH01_SMO02_v4-10.1.233.22/32